IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

:

WARREN EASTERLING,

Plaintiff,

r iaii itii i

Case No. 3:14-cv-217

٧.

JUDGE WALTER H. RICE

JUDGE MARY DONOVAN, et al.,

Defendants.

DECISION AND ENTRY ADOPTING UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE'S REPORT AND RECOMMENDATIONS (DOC. #7); OVERRULING PLAINTIFF'S OBJECTIONS THERETO (DOC. #8); DISMISSING CASE WITHOUT PREJUDICE FOR WANT OF PROSECUTION; JUDGMENT TO ENTER IN FAVOR OF DEFENDANTS AND AGAINST PLAINTIFF; TERMINATION ENTRY

On March 1, 2015, United States Magistrate Judge Michael R. Merz issued a notation order denying as moot Plaintiff's Motion for Leave to Proceed *in forma pauperis*. Doc. #1. A copy of the notation order was mailed to Plaintiff at the address listed on the docket. As Magistrate Judge Merz noted in his June 8, 2015, Report and Recommendation, Doc. #7, Plaintiff has never objected to that notation order or paid the requisite filing fee. Magistrate Judge Merz therefore recommended that the case be dismissed without prejudice for want of prosecution.

On the same date the Report and Recommendation was issued, Plaintiff filed a document entitled "Plaintiff's: Filing of Objections to Doc. #13." Doc. #8. It

appears, however, that these Objections were intended to be filed not in the

above-captioned case, but instead in Easterling v. Donovan, Case No. 3:14-cv-

130. Notably: (1) at this stage of the litigation, there no "Doc. #13" in Case No.

3:14-cv-217; (2) the content of Plaintiff's Objections is completely non-responsive

to the June 8, 2015, Report and Recommendations, in Case No. 3:14-cv-217; and

(3) Plaintiff did, in fact, file the identical document in Case No. 3:14-cv-130, on

that same date. Accordingly, Plaintiff's Objections, Doc. #8, which appear to have

been filed by mistake in the above-captioned case, are OVERRULED.

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Merz's June 8, 2015, Report and

Recommendations, Doc. #7, and DISMISSES the case WITHOUT PREJUDICE for

want of prosecution.

Judgment shall be entered in favor of Defendants and against Plaintiff.

The captioned case is hereby ordered terminated upon the docket records of

the United States District Court for the Southern District of Ohio, Western Division,

2

at Dayton.

Date: August 31, 2015

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE